Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,229	VOGEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Miller	3677	

All Participants:

(1) *William L. Miller*.

(2) *Clifford Frayne*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *28 June 2007*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
final rejection mailed 08-15-2006

Claims discussed:
1-12

Prior art documents discussed:
Yardley (US#2002/0025392)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 1 and 7 were amended to employ the closed loop language of "consisting of", and subsequently the subject matter of claims 5 and 11 were incorporated therein, respectively, to overcome the prior art of record and to pass the case to issue.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)